

Short communication

Effects of grassland restoration efforts on mound-building ants in the Chihuahuan Desert



Monica M. McAllister^{a, b}, Robert L. Schooley^{a, *}, Brandon T. Bestelmeyer^b,
John M. Coffman^{a, b}, Bradley J. Cosentino^c

^a Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

^b USDA-ARS Jornada Experimental Range, New Mexico State University, MSC 3JER Box 30003, Las Cruces, NM 88003, USA

^c Department of Biology, Hobart and William Smith Colleges, Geneva, NY 14456, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 April 2014

Received in revised form

4 August 2014

Accepted 13 August 2014

Available online 15 September 2014

Keywords:

Biodiversity

Desert ants

Landscape restoration

Larrea tridentata

Pogonomyrmex rugosus

Shrub encroachment

ABSTRACT

Shrub encroachment is a serious problem in arid environments worldwide because of potential reductions in ecosystem services and negative effects on biodiversity. In southwestern USA, Chihuahuan Desert grasslands have experienced long-term encroachment by shrubs including creosotebush (*Larrea tridentata*). Land managers have attempted an ambitious intervention to control shrubs by spraying herbicides over extensive areas to provide grassland habitat for wildlife species of conservation concern. To provide a broader assessment of how these restoration practices affect biodiversity, we evaluated responses by four mound-building ant species (*Pogonomyrmex rugosus*, *Aphaenogaster cockerelli*, *Myrmecocystus depilis*, and *Myrmecocystus mexicanus*). We compared colony densities between 14 pairs of treated areas (herbicide applied 10–30 years before sampling) and untreated areas (spatially matched and dominated by creosotebush). *P. rugosus* and *A. cockerelli* responded positively to restoration treatments likely due to an increased abundance of seeds associated with increased grass cover. Variation in *P. rugosus* densities among different-aged treatments suggests a substantial time lag in response that could reflect temporal changes in habitat quality or facilitation by a keystone rodent, *Dipodomys spectabilis*. Colony densities of the scavenging ant *M. mexicanus* were reduced on treated areas, and *M. depilis* exhibited a similar trend, likely reflecting a reduction of liquid food resources associated with shrubs. Our results demonstrate that ongoing efforts to restore Chihuahuan Desert grasslands are having both positive and negative effects on non-target taxa such as ants and support the need for a landscape mosaic approach to restoration.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Shrub encroachment is a common phenomenon in semiarid and arid environments worldwide (Archer, 2010; Eldridge et al., 2011). The shift from grasslands to shrublands often results in reduced forage production for livestock and increased soil erosion (Archer, 2010). Moreover, loss of grassland habitats poses concerns for maintenance of animal biodiversity in these ecosystems (Archer, 2010; Meik et al., 2002; Sirami et al., 2009).

In southwestern United States, Chihuahuan Desert grasslands have experienced a long-term encroachment by shrubs, primarily creosotebush (*Larrea tridentata*) and honey mesquite (*Prosopis glandulosa*). This landscape conversion is thought to be triggered by livestock overgrazing during extended drought periods alongside a reduction of fires and cross-scale feedbacks among shrub encroachment, soil erosion, and local climate (Archer, 2010; Peters et al., 2006). Reversal of these transitions is difficult and, where possible at all, requires intensive management intervention. The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) in New Mexico, USA has sprayed herbicides over vast areas in an attempt to control shrubs. These efforts to restore desert grasslands started in the 1980s but were expanded in 2005 with the Restore New Mexico Program (Coffman et al., 2014; Cosentino et al., 2013, 2014). Restoration efforts have focused on recovering suitable habitat for wildlife species of conservation concern such as regionally declining birds

* Corresponding author. Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences, 1102 S. Goodwin Ave., University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA. Tel.: +1 217 244 2729.

E-mail address: schooley@illinois.edu (R.L. Schooley).

(Coffman et al., 2014). A comprehensive understanding of effects of these restoration practices on biodiversity requires assessments of non-target taxa as well (Cosentino et al., 2013, 2014).

Ants have been promoted as useful indicators for environmental monitoring and assessment of land management practices (Andersen and Majer, 2004; Underwood and Fisher, 2006). For our evaluation of grassland restoration efforts in the Chihuahuan Desert, we focused on four species of mound-building ants—*Pogonomyrmex rugosus*, *Aphaenogaster cockerelli*, *Myrmecocystus depilis*, and *Myrmecocystus mexicanus*. These ant species have large colonies and distinctive nest structures (Wheeler and Wheeler, 1986) that make them ideal to census over large spatial extents. Harvester ants such as *P. rugosus* also have strong effects on ecosystem functioning through selective seed predation, seed dispersal, and alteration of soil conditions (MacMahon et al., 2000). In general, we expected the focal ant species would respond to restoration treatments primarily because anticipated changes in vegetation (reduced shrub cover, increased grass cover) would affect availability of food resources. Abundances of Chihuahuan Desert ants can also strongly depend on soil texture (Bestelmeyer and Wiens, 2001; Johnson, 1992), so we expected that responses could be constrained by soils.

We predicted that *P. rugosus* would respond favorably to grassland restoration treatments because it is a granivore that feeds on seeds of perennial grasses (Whitford, 1978; Zimmer and Parmenter, 1998) and annual grasses and forbs (Rissing, 1988; Whitford, 1978). Furthermore, if the positive association between *P. rugosus* and mounds of banner-tailed kangaroo rats (*Dipodomys spectabilis*) observed at fine scales (Edelman, 2012) translates to broader scales, we predicted a time lag in response of *P. rugosus* to restoration treatments because *D. spectabilis* exhibits a lagged response (Cosentino et al., 2014). Because *A. cockerelli* is an opportunistic omnivore that scavenges for insects and seeds (Bestelmeyer, 2005; Sanders and Gordon, 2003; Wheeler and Wheeler, 1986), we had no clear prediction how the species would respond to vegetation changes, and we expected other factors such as soil texture could drive abundance patterns (Bestelmeyer and Wiens, 2001). *Myrmecocystus* species (honeypot ants) are also opportunistic foragers (Sanders and Gordon, 2003) including diurnal (*M. depilis*) and nocturnal (*M. mexicanus*) species (Schooley et al., 2000). Among its varied food sources, *Myrmecocystus* collects plant secretions (floral and extrafloral nectar) and honeydew from homopterans that are stored within the replete caste (Wheeler and Wheeler, 1986). Homopteran species are tended by ants on *Larrea* (Schultz et al., 1977), *Myrmecocystus* has been collected from *Larrea* (Rango, 2005), and *M. depilis* was an indicator species for *Larrea* habitat (Bestelmeyer and Wiens, 2001). Hence, we predicted that *M. depilis* and *M. mexicanus* would respond negatively to shrub removal treatments.

2. Materials and methods

We conducted the study in the Chihuahuan Desert in southwestern New Mexico in a region centered on the town of Hatch (see study maps in Cosentino et al., 2013; Coffman et al., 2014). Shrublands were dominated by creosotebush and tarbush (*Flourensia cernua*). Common grasses were dropseeds (*Sporobolus* spp.), tobosa (*Pleuraphis mutica*), bush muhly (*Muhlenbergia porteri*), black grama (*Bouteloua eriopoda*), threeawns (*Aristida* spp.) and burrograss (*Scleropogon brevifolius*).

We selected 14 sites consisting of paired treated and untreated areas spatially matched for soil type, landform, and elevation. Treated areas (mean = 1005 ha; range = 449–1946 ha) were sprayed with the herbicide tebuthiuron at a standard rate of 0.56 kg/ha by the BLM to target creosotebush. Treatments were

applied to each site once between 1982 and 2002 (10–30 years before our ant sampling). Matched untreated areas were dominated by creosotebush. Mean elevation for treated and untreated areas was 1529 m (range = 1329–1756 m).

Within each treated and untreated area, two 1-km belt transects were established that were coincident with two of the three transects sampled in Coffman et al. (2014). Each of our belt transects was 1000 m × 8 m (length × width). Belt transects within an area were 300–1500 m apart and ≥100 m from roads.

We measured densities of ant colonies by counting nests for the four focal species (*P. rugosus*, *A. cockerelli*, *M. depilis*, *M. mexicanus*) on each belt transect between 19 September and 19 November 2012. A single observer (MMM) conducted all counts between 800 and 1400 and alternated between starting surveys on treated or untreated areas each day. Nest entrances separated by > 1.5 m were counted as separate colonies. Species identification in the field was based on typical nest structure and observations of foragers entering or leaving nests (Wheeler and Wheeler, 1986). If necessary, the observer agitated the colony with a probe or by blowing on the entrance to bring occupants to the surface. Collected voucher specimens confirmed that sampled dark *Myrmecocystus* ants were from colonies of *M. depilis* and not *M. mimicus*.

To evaluate environmental variables that might explain density patterns for ant species, we measured vegetation cover, soil texture, and the density of *D. spectabilis* mounds. Vegetation cover (shrubs and grasses) was measured using line point intercept (LPI) sampling on two 50-m transects associated with each of the two 1-km belt transects (for details see Coffman et al., 2014). Dominant soil texture was determined at the center of each LPI transect. We used a simple binary classification of soil texture that included ‘loam’ and ‘not loam’ (sandy or gravelly) based on a soil pit dug to a depth of 70 cm or to a restrictive horizon. Active mounds for *D. spectabilis* were counted on two 1-km transects using larger belts (1000 m × 60 m; Cosentino et al., 2014). For analysis, we averaged all environmental variables from transects within each treated and untreated area.

We used Wilcoxon signed rank tests to determine if shrub cover, grass cover, and colony densities for the four ant species differed between treated and untreated areas. We then used Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients to determine if the difference between ant colony density within treated and untreated pairs was related to time since treatment. A positive correlation would indicate a time lag in treatment effects on colony density. Finally, we applied hierarchical partitioning (hier.part function in R; Walsh and Mac Nally, 2008) to explore relationships between ant species densities and measured environmental factors (shrubs cover, grass cover, soil texture class, mound density of *D. spectabilis*) across all areas. Hierarchical partitioning allows one to estimate the independent and joint effects of predictor variables exhibiting collinearity (Cosentino et al., 2013).

3. Results

Restoration treatments affected vegetation cover as we predicted. Shrub cover was reduced ($P = 0.001$, $S = -52.5$, $n = 14$) on treated areas (median = 3.67%) compared to untreated areas (median = 15.13%). Likewise, grass cover increased ($P = 0.009$, $S = 40.5$, $n = 14$) on treated areas (median = 24.21%) compared to untreated areas (median = 15.00%).

Restoration efforts affected densities of three of the four focal ant species (Fig. 1). Densities of *P. rugosus* were greater on treated areas compared to untreated areas ($P = 0.041$, $S = 26$, $n = 14$). Likewise, densities of *A. cockerelli* increased on treated areas compared to untreated areas ($P = 0.035$, $S = 27$, $n = 14$). In contrast, shrub control treatments reduced the densities of *M. mexicanus*




Fig. 1. Effects of shrub removal treatments on focal ant species in the Chihuahuan Desert of southern New Mexico. Box plots display differences in ant colony densities (no./ha) between shrubland areas treated with herbicide and spatially matched untreated areas. Horizontal lines indicate medians, boxes indicate 25th and 75th percentiles, and whiskers indicate 10th and 90th percentiles. Species with an asterisk responded to restoration treatments (Wilcoxon signed rank test, $P < 0.05$).

relative to untreated areas ($P = 0.018$, $S = -23$, $n = 14$). Densities of *M. depilis* were not affected by treatments ($P = 0.127$, $S = -22.5$, $n = 14$), although the trend was for reduced densities on treatments (Fig. 1), similar to patterns for *M. mexicanus*.

Only *P. rugosus* exhibited a strong time lag in response to treatments (Fig. 2). The difference in density of *P. rugosus* on treated areas compared to untreated areas was correlated positively with years since treatment ($r_s = 0.617$, $P = 0.019$). Similar correlations were not significant for *A. cockerelli* ($r_s = -0.486$, $P = 0.078$), *M. depilis* ($r_s = -0.421$, $P = 0.134$), and *M. mexicanus* ($r_s = -0.080$, $P = 0.786$).

Hierarchical partitioning indicated colony densities of the four ant species responded to different environmental factors measured across all areas (treated and untreated). For *P. rugosus*, both the independent and joint effects were greatest for densities of *D. spectabilis* mounds (Fig. 3). The density of *P. rugosus* colonies was correlated positively with the density of *D. spectabilis* mounds ($r_s = 0.484$, $P = 0.009$; Supplemental information, Fig. S1). Shrub




Fig. 2. Effect of treatment age on response of *Pogonomyrmex rugosus* to shrub removal. Differences in colony densities (no./ha) are for shrubland areas treated with herbicide and spatially matched untreated areas.

cover ($r_s = -0.371$, $P = 0.052$) and soil texture had secondary effects on *P. rugosus* density. For *A. cockerelli*, spatial variation in colony density was driven primarily by the independent effect of soil texture (Fig. 3). For *M. depilis*, independent and joint effects on density were greatest for soil texture and grass cover ($r_s = -0.420$, $P = 0.026$). For *M. mexicanus*, the combined effects of shrub cover ($r_s = 0.397$, $P = 0.036$) and the independent effect of soil texture explained most of the variation in colony density (Fig. 3). For all four ant species, colony densities were greater on loam soils than on sandy or gravelly soils (Supplemental information, Table S1). This association with soil texture was strongest for *A. cockerelli* (loam soils: mean = 16.98 colonies, SE = 2.25; sandy or gravelly soils: mean = 5.47 colonies, SE = 1.79).

4. Discussion

Large-scale efforts to remove shrubs and restore desert grasslands have created novel ecosystems with habitat structure intermediate between encroached shrublands and remnant grasslands (Coffman et al., 2014). This landscape conversion has affected non-target taxa including desert lizards (Cosentino et al., 2013) and a keystone rodent, *D. spectabilis* (Cosentino et al., 2014). Our results indicate these restoration efforts also are affecting densities of Chihuahuan Desert ants. Three of four focal ant species, representing different feeding guilds, responded to shrub removal treatments.

The strongest response was by the harvester ant, *P. rugosus*, which increased substantially on treated areas. Presumably this positive response was partly due to decreased shrub cover and increased grass cover that resulted in a greater abundance of preferred grass seeds (Rissing, 1988; Zimmer and Parmenter, 1998). There was a long time lag in the response by *P. rugosus*, however, with the greatest effects occurring on sites treated >15 years before our sampling. This considerable time lag could be related to temporal changes in habitat quality after treatment. Although grass cover did not differ between young and old treatments, the dominant grasses changed over time (Coffman et al., 2014). Young treatments had greater cover of grass species associated with remnant grasslands, whereas old treatments had greater cover of disturbance-associated grasses including annuals with larger seeds (Coffman et al., 2014).

Our hierarchical partitioning results also indicate densities of *P. rugosus* were associated positively with densities of *D. spectabilis* mounds. This keystone rodent also displayed long time lags to restoration treatments (Cosentino et al., 2014). The correlation between densities of *P. rugosus* and *D. spectabilis* could represent similar habitat selection by these granivores including avoidance of areas with high shrub density or sandy soils (Cosentino et al., 2014). In addition, Edelman (2012) demonstrated a positive spatial association at fine scales (<10 m) between both established and recently founded colonies of *P. rugosus* and *D. spectabilis* mounds. Mortality risk of recently founded *P. rugosus* colonies was also reduced near *D. spectabilis* mounds. Collectively, these results indicate the potential for facilitation of *P. rugosus* by *D. spectabilis* mediated by changes in plant cover and composition (Edelman, 2012). If these localized interspecific interactions scale up, they could have contributed to the time lag for *P. rugosus* in our study.

A. cockerelli also exhibited a positive response to treatments, but the effect was muted compared to *P. rugosus*. This result might reflect the omnivorous feeding habits of *A. cockerelli*; although the species collects seeds, it prefers protein-rich resources including insects (Sanders and Gordon, 2003). The increase in density of *A. cockerelli* colonies on treatments could also represent competitive release from *Myrmecocystus*, which was reduced on treatments. *Myrmecocystus* foragers displace *A. cockerelli* foragers at




Fig. 3. Hierarchical partitioning of independent and joint effects of active mound density of *D. spectabilis* (mounds), grass cover, shrub cover, and soil type on colony density of four focal ant species. Effects are expressed as percentages of the total explained variation (pseudo r^2 values: *P. rugosus* = 36.8%, *A. cockerelli* = 34.8%, *M. depilis* = 31.9%, *M. mexicanus* = 16.1%). A negative joint effect indicates a variable that suppresses independent effects of other variables.

protein resources (Sanders and Gordon, 2003), and even spacing between colonies of *M. mexicanus* and *A. cockerelli* suggests interspecific competition (Chew, 1987). Nevertheless, much of the spatial variation in densities of *A. cockerelli* colonies was driven by soil texture (see also Bestelmeyer and Wiens, 2001).

The negative effect of restoration treatments on *M. mexicanus*, and similar trend for *M. depilis*, was likely due to the reduction of shrub-associated liquid resources including extrafloral nectar and homopteran honeydew (Schultz et al., 1977; Wheeler and Wheeler, 1986). *Myrmecocystus* abundance also is correlated negatively with distance to honey mesquite, the other major invasive shrub in our region (Bestelmeyer, 2005).

The extensive grassland restoration efforts in the Chihuahuan Desert are dramatically altering landscapes, providing positive benefits for species of conservation concern (Coffman et al., 2014), but also influencing other components of animal biodiversity including ants. In particular, densities of *P. rugosus* were positively affected by restoration treatments. This outcome is consequential because of the many roles this large harvester ant plays in ecosystem functioning. Other ant species of interest (*M. mexicanus*), however, may be negatively affected by shrub removal, reinforcing the conclusions of Coffman et al. (2014) that a mosaic of treated and untreated areas may promote regional biodiversity.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the USDA-AFRI Managed Ecosystems program (2010-85101-20459), Bureau of Land

Management, and the REU program of NSF through the Jornada Basin LTER (DEB-1235828). We thank K. Sierzega, L. Burkett, R. Lister, and D. Peters for field or logistical support.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2014.08.005>.

References

- Andersen, A.N., Majer, J.D., 2004. Ants show the way Down Under: invertebrates as bioindicators in land management. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 2, 291–298.
- Archer, S.R., 2010. Rangeland conservation and shrub encroachment: new perspectives on an old problem. In: du Toit, J.T., Kock, R., Deutsch, J.C. (Eds.), *Wild Rangelands: Conserving Wildlife While Maintaining Livestock in Semi-Arid Ecosystems*. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 53–97.
- Bestelmeyer, B.T., 2005. Does desertification diminish biodiversity? Enhancement of ant diversity by shrub invasion in southwestern USA. *Divers. Distrib.* 11, 45–55.
- Bestelmeyer, B.T., Wiens, J.A., 2001. Ant biodiversity in semiarid landscape mosaics: the consequences of grazing vs. natural heterogeneity. *Ecol. Appl.* 11, 1123–1140.
- Chew, R.M., 1987. Population dynamics of colonies of three species of ants in desertified grassland, southeastern Arizona, 1958–1981. *Am. Midl. Nat.* 118, 177–188.
- Coffman, J.M., Bestelmeyer, B.T., Kelly, J.F., Wright, T.F., Schooley, R.L., 2014. Restoration practices have positive effects on breeding bird species of concern in the Chihuahuan Desert. *Restor. Ecol.* 22, 336–344.
- Cosentino, B.J., Schooley, R.L., Bestelmeyer, B.T., Coffman, J.M., 2013. Response of lizard community structure to desert grassland restoration mediated by a keystone rodent. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 22, 921–935.
- Cosentino, B.J., Schooley, R.L., Bestelmeyer, B.T., Kelly, J.F., Coffman, J.M., 2014. Constraints and time lags for recovery of a keystone species (*Dipodomys spectabilis*) after landscape restoration. *Landsc. Ecol.* 29, 665–675.

- Edelman, A.J., 2012. Positive interactions between desert granivores: localized facilitation of harvester ants by kangaroo rats. *PLoS One* 7 (2), e30914. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0030914>.
- Eldridge, D.J., Bowker, M.A., Maestre, F.T., Roger, E., Reynolds, J.F., Whitford, W.G., 2011. Impacts of shrub encroachment on ecosystem structure and functioning: towards a global synthesis. *Ecol. Lett.* 14, 709–722.
- Johnson, R.A., 1992. Soil texture as an influence on the distribution of the seed-harvester ants *Pogonomyrmex rugosus* and *Messor pergandei*. *Oecologia* 89, 118–124.
- MacMahon, J.A., Mull, J.F., Crist, T.O., 2000. Harvester ants (*Pogonomyrmex* spp.): their community and ecosystem influences. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 31, 265–291.
- Meik, J.M., Jeo, R.M., Mendelson III, J.R., Jenks, K.E., 2002. Effects of bush encroachment on an assemblage of diurnal lizard species in central Namibia. *Biol. Conserv.* 106, 29–36.
- Peters, D.P.C., Bestelmeyer, B.T., Herrick, J.E., Frederickson, E.L., Monger, H.C., Havstad, K.M., 2006. Disentangling complex landscapes: new insights into arid and semiarid system dynamics. *BioScience* 56, 491–501.
- Rango, J.J., 2005. Arthropod communities on creosote bush (*Larrea tridentata*) in desert patches of varying degrees of urbanization. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 14, 2185–2206.
- Rissing, S.W., 1988. Dietary similarity and forage range of two seed-harvester ants during resource fluctuations. *Oecologia* 75, 362–366.
- Sanders, N.J., Gordon, D.M., 2003. Resource-dependent interactions and the organization of desert ant communities. *Ecology* 84, 1024–1031.
- Schooley, R.L., Bestelmeyer, B.T., Kelly, J.F., 2000. Influence of small-scale disturbances by kangaroo rats on Chihuahuan Desert ants. *Oecologia* 125, 142–149.
- Schultz, J.C., Otte, D., Enders, F., 1977. *Larrea* as a habitat component for desert arthropods. In: Mabry, T.J., Hunziker, J.H., Difeo Jr., D.R. (Eds.), *Creosote Bush: Biology and Chemistry of Larrea in New World Deserts*. Dowden, Hutchinson, and Ross, Stroudsburg, pp. 176–206.
- Sirami, C., Seymour, C., Midgley, G., Barnard, P., 2009. The impact of shrub encroachment on savanna bird diversity from local to regional scale. *Divers. Distrib.* 15, 948–957.
- Underwood, E.C., Fisher, B.L., 2006. The role of ants in conservation monitoring: if, when, and how. *Biol. Conserv.* 132, 166–182.
- Walsh, C., Mac Nally, R., 2008. Hier.Part: Hierarchical Partitioning. R Package Version 1.0-3.
- Wheeler, G.C., Wheeler, J.N., 1986. *The Ants of Nevada*. Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, Los Angeles.
- Whitford, W.G., 1978. Foraging in seed-harvester ants *Pogonomyrmex* spp. *Ecology* 59, 185–189.
- Zimmer, K., Parmenter, R.R., 1998. Harvester ants and fire in a desert grassland: ecological responses of *Pogonomyrmex rugosus* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) to experimental wildfires in central New Mexico. *Environ. Entomol.* 27, 282–287.